

UNITED STATES PATENT APPLICATION

FOR
AN OPTICAL SIGNAL RECEIVER AND METHOD WITH
DECISION THRESHOLD ADJUSTMENT BASED ON
A TOTAL PERCENTAGE ERROR INDICATOR

BY

Steve W. Cornelius

095161337 072701

Certification Under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.10

I hereby certify that this New Application Transmittal Letter and the documents referred to as being enclosed therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on this 27th day of July, 2001 in an envelope marked as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mail Label Number EL589573010US addressed to Box Patent Application, Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Carol S. Parker/Hines

(signature of person mailing paper)

**AN OPTICAL SIGNAL RECEIVER AND METHOD WITH DECISION
THRESHOLD ADJUSTMENT BASED ON A TOTAL PERCENTAGE
ERROR INDICATOR FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

5 The present invention relates in general to receivers and methods of receiving for communication systems and, in particular, to an optical signal receiver and optical signal receiving method including decision threshold adjustment.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 It is well known than signals transported over optical communication networks suffer degradation between associated transmitters and receivers. Signal degradation may result from a variety of system parameters including the total transmission distance, the transmission fiber type, the number of optical amplifications to a signal, the number of system channels, etc.

15 Optical networks are, however, being developed with ever-increasing signal transmission speeds and distances. Channel counts have also been increasing in wavelength division multiplexed transmission systems. The greater transmission distances, speeds and higher channel counts directly effect received signal quality.

20 To maintain high fidelity signal reception in optical networks, advances in receiver design have been proposed. For example, receivers are constructed with the goal of achieving an acceptable BER (bit error rate), which is the ratio of the number of incorrectly received bits to the total number of received bits. Typically, this is achieved by adjusting and fixing the decision threshold of a comparator within the receiver while providing a well-known optical test signal at the comparator data input. The decision threshold is a reference voltage against which the strength of a received signal is compared. If the received signal is above the decision threshold,

it is interpreted as being “on”, but if the received signal is below the decision threshold, it is interpreted as being “off”.

It is also known that a decision threshold may be established from the eye diagram of the received signal. An exemplary eye diagram is illustrated in FIG.1a.

5 In general, an eye diagram may be observed on an oscilloscope by monitoring the receiver data output voltage on the vertical input of the oscilloscope and triggering on the data clock. Key features of an eye diagram, as illustrated in Fig. 1a, include the crossing points C1, C2, useable eye width (i.e. the time distance on the horizontal scale between points C1 and C2) and usable eye height H (voltage).

10 In an ideal received signal such as the one shown in Fig. 1a, the crossing points C1,C2 would be centered and symmetrical, and the open area would be as large as possible, indicating strong pulse width and height in the received signal. A decision threshold DT may be selected to correspond with the voltage level at the crossing points C1, C2, as shown. In contrast, a non-ideal received signal may have an eye diagram like the one shown in Fig. 1b in which the eye is not open and the crossing points are not centered or symmetric.

15 A difficulty with such receiver designs, however, is that the eye diagram itself depends on all of the above-mentioned parameters that effect signal quality. All these parameters can change with time, e.g. due to system upgrades to add more channels, changes in fiber plant, or changes in optical amplifier performance. Such changes can alter the received “eye diagram”
20 such as in the eye diagram shown in Fig. 1b, leading to a degradation of the BER in the absence of a re-optimized decision threshold.

In an attempt to minimize the adverse effects of system changes, error correction schemes such as forward error correction (FEC) have been incorporated into receiver designs. FEC

generally includes generation of a control code at the transmission site. The control code is transmitted with the data to a receiver. Error correction may be achieved based on various algorithms that compensate for specific detected errors in the control code. Although FEC schemes have achieved wide acceptance, there is room for improvement in basic receiver design that addresses the underlying BER variation resulting from changes in system parameters.

Accordingly, there is a need in the art for optical receiver configuration and/or optical signal control method that adjusts the receiver decision threshold to reduce the BER.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is organized about the concept of providing an optical receiver configuration and a method of controlling an optical signal receiver that adjusts a decision threshold that reduces the BER.

The receiver includes a comparator having a data input and a digital data output. An error correction and detection circuit provides an error calculation signal representative of errors in the data output from the comparator. Based on the error calculation signal, a control circuit modifies the comparator decision threshold and, preferably, a rate of comparison threshold adjustment to reduce the BER of the receiver.

In particular, an optical receiver consistent with the invention may include a comparator for receiving an input data signal from an optical-to-electrical signal converter and a decision threshold signal and providing a digital output data signal; an error detection and correction circuit for receiving the digital output data signal, detecting errors in the digital output data signal and correcting detected errors in the digital output data signal; the error detection and correction circuit also providing an error signal representative of a number of corrected "1"s and number of

corrected "0"s in the output data signal; and a control circuit for receiving the error signal, calculating a total percentage error indicator based on the error signal and adjusting the decision threshold signal in response to the total percentage error indicator.

In addition, the control circuit may change a rate of the decision threshold adjustment
5 based on the total percentage error indicator.

Another optical receiver consistent with the invention may include a photodetector optically coupled to a fiber optic network: the photodetector converting an optical signal received from the fiber optic network to an electrical input data signal; a comparator operatively coupled to the photodetector and to a decision threshold input port; the comparator comparing the electrical input data signal to a decision threshold signal to provide a digital output data signal; an error detection and correction circuit operatively coupled to the comparator; the error detection and correction circuit detecting errors in the digital output data signal and correcting detected errors in the digital output data signal; the error detection and correction circuit also providing an error signal representative of a number of corrected "1"s and number of corrected "0"s in the output data signal; and a control circuit operatively coupled to the error detection and correction circuit and to the decision threshold input port of the comparator, the control circuit calculating a total percentage error indicator based on the error signal and adjusting the decision threshold signal in response to the total percentage error indicator.

In addition, the control circuit may change a rate of the decision threshold adjustment
20 based on the total percentage error indicator.

The control circuit may also include a microprocessor circuit for receiving the error signal and a digital to analog converter for providing the decision threshold signal, the

microprocessor circuit providing a digital control signal to the digital to analog converter for controlling the decision threshold signal.

The error detection and correction circuit may include an FEC circuit and preferably outputs an error signal that may, for example, be representative the total number of "1"s and total number of "0"s corrected by the error detection and correction circuit.

The receiver may also include a clock and data recovery circuit for receiving the output data signal and providing the data output signal and a data input clock to the error detection circuit, and a photodiode may be provided whereby the data input signal is representative an optical data signal imparted on the photodiode.

An optical receiving method consistent with the invention may reduce bit errors in a digital data output signal output from an optical receiver having a comparator comparing an input data signal from an optical-to-electrical signal converter to a decision threshold signal to provide the digital output data signal, the method comprising: inputting a number of corrected "1"s and a number of corrected "0"s corrected in the output data signal; calculating a total percentage error indicator based on the number of corrected "1"s and the number of corrected "0"s in the output data signal; and adjusting the decision threshold signal in response to the calculated total percentage error indicator.

Moreover, the method may also change a rate of the decision threshold adjustment based on the calculated total percentage error indicator.

Alternatively, the method may change the decision threshold by a stepsize amount in response to the calculated total percentage error indicator.

In another alternative, the method may change the decision threshold by a stepsize amount related to the calculated total percentage error indicator.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

For a better understanding of the present invention, together with other objects, features
5 and advantages, reference should be made to the following detailed description which should be
read in conjunction with the following figures wherein like numerals represent like parts:

FIG. 1a: is an exemplary and conventional optical signal eye-diagram of a high-quality
signal;

FIG. 1b: is an exemplary and conventional optical signal eye-diagram of a low-quality
signal;

FIG. 2: is a block diagram of an exemplary receiver consistent with a first construction of
the invention;

FIG. 3: is a block diagram of an exemplary receiver consistent with a second construction
of the invention;

FIG. 4: is a block diagram of an exemplary receiver consistent with a third construction of
the invention;

FIG. 5: is a flow chart illustrating a receiver searching operation consistent with the
invention that may be performed by the exemplary receivers;

FIG. 6: is a flow chart illustrating a receiver threshold tuning operation consistent with the
invention that may be performed by the exemplary receivers;

FIG. 7: is a flow chart illustrating an alternative receiver threshold tuning operation
consistent with the invention that may be performed by the exemplary receivers;

- FIG. 8a: is a flow chart illustrating another alternative receiver threshold tuning operation consistent with the invention that may be performed by the exemplary receivers;
- FIG. 8b: is a flow chart illustrating yet another alternative receiver threshold tuning operation consistent with the invention that may be performed by the exemplary receivers;
- FIG. 9 : is a plot of an exemplary percentage error indicator (difference/total) vs. DAC output that illustrates aspects of the invention; and
- FIG. 10: is a chart of test data including DAC count, ones, zeroes, total errors, and difference/total that further illustrates aspects of the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The following detailed description of the invention refers to the accompanying drawings. The same reference numbers in different drawings identify the same or similar elements. Also, the following detailed description does not limit the invention. Instead, the scope of the invention is defined by the appended claims and equivalents thereof.

The expression "optically communicates" as used herein refers to any connection, coupling, link or the like by which optical signals carried by one optical system element are imparted to the "communicating" element. Such "optically communicating" devices are not necessarily directly connected to one another and may be separated by intermediate optical components or devices. Likewise, the expressions "coupled", "connection" and "operative connection" as used herein are relative terms and do not require a direct physical connection.

FIG. 2 illustrates an exemplary embodiment of a receiver circuit 1 consistent with the invention. The receiver circuit 1 is typically installed in a fiber optic system 90 as shown but it may

also be located in a variety of other configurations. The fiber optic system 90 illustrated in Fig. 1 includes a fiber optic transmitter 5 that sends an optical signal to a photodetector 12 via a fiber optic network 10. The transmitter 5, fiber optic network 10 and photodetector 12 are conventional elements that may be constructed in a variety of manners as is known in the art.

5 The type of transmission modulation utilized by the transmitter 5 is not limited by the invention as the modulation is independent of error coding. For example, the invention may use “on”/“off” keying (OOK) for modulation whereby an optical pulse is transmitted in the “on” state - signaling a logical “1” - and the absence of the pulse corresponds to the “off” state – signaling a logical zero. Other modulation formats include RZ (return-to-zero), NRZ (non-return-to-zero), soliton, QAM (quadrature amplitude modulation) and QPSK (quadratic phase shift modulation).

10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50 55 60 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 100 105 110 115 120 125 130 135 140 145 150 155 160 165 170 175 180 185 190 195 200 205 210 215 220 225 230 235 240 245 250 255 260 265 270 275 280 285 290 295 300 305 310 315 320 325 330 335 340 345 350 355 360 365 370 375 380 385 390 395 400 405 410 415 420 425 430 435 440 445 450 455 460 465 470 475 480 485 490 495 500 505 510 515 520 525 530 535 540 545 550 555 560 565 570 575 580 585 590 595 600 605 610 615 620 625 630 635 640 645 650 655 660 665 670 675 680 685 690 695 700 705 710 715 720 725 730 735 740 745 750 755 760 765 770 775 780 785 790 795 800 805 810 815 820 825 830 835 840 845 850 855 860 865 870 875 880 885 890 895 900 905 910 915 920 925 930 935 940 945 950 955 960 965 970 975 980 985 990 995 1000

The optical signal from transmitter 5 is received by photodetector 12 and converted thereby into an analog electrical signal. Of course, other elements may be used in place of or in conjunction with photodetector 12 to perform this O/E (optical-to-electrical) signal conversion. One example is the conventional limiting amplifier 14 which is an optional component whose intended purpose is to amplify and limit the signal from the photodiode 12 to a level that is appropriate for coupling to the receiver circuit 1 components.

As shown, the receiver circuit 1 may include a comparator 16 coupled to the output of the limiting amplifier 14; a clock and data recovery (CDR) circuit 18 coupled to the output of the comparator 16; an error detection & correction circuit 20 coupled to the output of the CDR circuit; and a control circuit 22 coupled to an error calculation output of the error detection & correction circuit 20.

In general, the control circuit 22 provides a decision threshold signal to the comparator 16

on line 44 and may dynamically adjust the decision threshold to reduce the BER in the receiver circuit 1 output, e.g. the output of the error detection & correction circuit 20 on line 46.

Although the illustrated embodiment includes particular circuit elements, those skilled in the art will recognize that a receiver consistent with the invention may have a variety of configurations.

5 For example, the CDR circuit 18 and/or the limiting amplifier 14 may be omitted or replaced by other elements in a particular receiver. It is to be understood, therefore, that the illustrated exemplary embodiment is provided only by way of illustration, not of limitation.

With continued reference to FIG. 2, in a manner well known to those skilled in the art, the photodiode 12 converts an optical signal imparted thereon into an analog electrical signal on line 26. The conventional limiting amplifier 14 amplifies and limits the signal from the photodiode to a level that is appropriate for coupling to the remainder of the receiver circuit 1 components. The analog output of the amplifier 14 is coupled to the data input of the comparator 16.

10
15
20
25
30
35
40
45
50
55
60
65
70
75
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
140
145
150
155
160
165
170
175
180
185
190
195
200
205
210
215
220
225
230
235
240
245
250
255
260
265
270
275
280
285
290
295
300
305
310
315
320
325
330
335
340
345
350
355
360
365
370
375
380
385
390
395
400
405
410
415
420
425
430
435
440
445
450
455
460
465
470
475
480
485
490
495
500
505
510
515
520
525
530
535
540
545
550
555
560
565
570
575
580
585
590
595
600
605
610
615
620
625
630
635
640
645
650
655
660
665
670
675
680
685
690
695
700
705
710
715
720
725
730
735
740
745
750
755
760
765
770
775
780
785
790
795
800
805
810
815
820
825
830
835
840
845
850
855
860
865
870
875
880
885
890
895
900
905
910
915
920
925
930
935
940
945
950
955
960
965
970
975
980
985
990
995
1000
1005
1010
1015
1020
1025
1030
1035
1040
1045
1050
1055
1060
1065
1070
1075
1080
1085
1090
1095
1100
1105
1110
1115
1120
1125
1130
1135
1140
1145
1150
1155
1160
1165
1170
1175
1180
1185
1190
1195
1200
1205
1210
1215
1220
1225
1230
1235
1240
1245
1250
1255
1260
1265
1270
1275
1280
1285
1290
1295
1300
1305
1310
1315
1320
1325
1330
1335
1340
1345
1350
1355
1360
1365
1370
1375
1380
1385
1390
1395
1400
1405
1410
1415
1420
1425
1430
1435
1440
1445
1450
1455
1460
1465
1470
1475
1480
1485
1490
1495
1500
1505
1510
1515
1520
1525
1530
1535
1540
1545
1550
1555
1560
1565
1570
1575
1580
1585
1590
1595
1600
1605
1610
1615
1620
1625
1630
1635
1640
1645
1650
1655
1660
1665
1670
1675
1680
1685
1690
1695
1700
1705
1710
1715
1720
1725
1730
1735
1740
1745
1750
1755
1760
1765
1770
1775
1780
1785
1790
1795
1800
1805
1810
1815
1820
1825
1830
1835
1840
1845
1850
1855
1860
1865
1870
1875
1880
1885
1890
1895
1900
1905
1910
1915
1920
1925
1930
1935
1940
1945
1950
1955
1960
1965
1970
1975
1980
1985
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
2015
2020
2025
2030
2035
2040
2045
2050
2055
2060
2065
2070
2075
2080
2085
2090
2095
2100
2105
2110
2115
2120
2125
2130
2135
2140
2145
2150
2155
2160
2165
2170
2175
2180
2185
2190
2195
2200
2205
2210
2215
2220
2225
2230
2235
2240
2245
2250
2255
2260
2265
2270
2275
2280
2285
2290
2295
2300
2305
2310
2315
2320
2325
2330
2335
2340
2345
2350
2355
2360
2365
2370
2375
2380
2385
2390
2395
2400
2405
2410
2415
2420
2425
2430
2435
2440
2445
2450
2455
2460
2465
2470
2475
2480
2485
2490
2495
2500
2505
2510
2515
2520
2525
2530
2535
2540
2545
2550
2555
2560
2565
2570
2575
2580
2585
2590
2595
2600
2605
2610
2615
2620
2625
2630
2635
2640
2645
2650
2655
2660
2665
2670
2675
2680
2685
2690
2695
2700
2705
2710
2715
2720
2725
2730
2735
2740
2745
2750
2755
2760
2765
2770
2775
2780
2785
2790
2795
2800
2805
2810
2815
2820
2825
2830
2835
2840
2845
2850
2855
2860
2865
2870
2875
2880
2885
2890
2895
2900
2905
2910
2915
2920
2925
2930
2935
2940
2945
2950
2955
2960
2965
2970
2975
2980
2985
2990
2995
3000
3005
3010
3015
3020
3025
3030
3035
3040
3045
3050
3055
3060
3065
3070
3075
3080
3085
3090
3095
3100
3105
3110
3115
3120
3125
3130
3135
3140
3145
3150
3155
3160
3165
3170
3175
3180
3185
3190
3195
3200
3205
3210
3215
3220
3225
3230
3235
3240
3245
3250
3255
3260
3265
3270
3275
3280
3285
3290
3295
3300
3305
3310
3315
3320
3325
3330
3335
3340
3345
3350
3355
3360
3365
3370
3375
3380
3385
3390
3395
3400
3405
3410
3415
3420
3425
3430
3435
3440
3445
3450
3455
3460
3465
3470
3475
3480
3485
3490
3495
3500
3505
3510
3515
3520
3525
3530
3535
3540
3545
3550
3555
3560
3565
3570
3575
3580
3585
3590
3595
3600
3605
3610
3615
3620
3625
3630
3635
3640
3645
3650
3655
3660
3665
3670
3675
3680
3685
3690
3695
3700
3705
3710
3715
3720
3725
3730
3735
3740
3745
3750
3755
3760
3765
3770
3775
3780
3785
3790
3795
3800
3805
3810
3815
3820
3825
3830
3835
3840
3845
3850
3855
3860
3865
3870
3875
3880
3885
3890
3895
3900
3905
3910
3915
3920
3925
3930
3935
3940
3945
3950
3955
3960
3965
3970
3975
3980
3985
3990
3995
4000
4005
4010
4015
4020
4025
4030
4035
4040
4045
4050
4055
4060
4065
4070
4075
4080
4085
4090
4095
4100
4105
4110
4115
4120
4125
4130
4135
4140
4145
4150
4155
4160
4165
4170
4175
4180
4185
4190
4195
4200
4205
4210
4215
4220
4225
4230
4235
4240
4245
4250
4255
4260
4265
4270
4275
4280
4285
4290
4295
4300
4305
4310
4315
4320
4325
4330
4335
4340
4345
4350
4355
4360
4365
4370
4375
4380
4385
4390
4395
4400
4405
4410
4415
4420
4425
4430
4435
4440
4445
4450
4455
4460
4465
4470
4475
4480
4485
4490
4495
4500
4505
4510
4515
4520
4525
4530
4535
4540
4545
4550
4555
4560
4565
4570
4575
4580
4585
4590
4595
4600
4605
4610
4615
4620
4625
4630
4635
4640
4645
4650
4655
4660
4665
4670
4675
4680
4685
4690
4695
4700
4705
4710
4715
4720
4725
4730
4735
4740
4745
4750
4755
4760
4765
4770
4775
4780
4785
4790
4795
4800
4805
4810
4815
4820
4825
4830
4835
4840
4845
4850
4855
4860
4865
4870
4875
4880
4885
4890
4895
4900
4905
4910
4915
4920
4925
4930
4935
4940
4945
4950
4955
4960
4965
4970
4975
4980
4985
4990
4995
5000
5005
5010
5015
5020
5025
5030
5035
5040
5045
5050
5055
5060
5065
5070
5075
5080
5085
5090
5095
5100
5105
5110
5115
5120
5125
5130
5135
5140
5145
5150
5155
5160
5165
5170
5175
5180
5185
5190
5195
5200
5205
5210
5215
5220
5225
5230
5235
5240
5245
5250
5255
5260
5265
5270
5275
5280
5285
5290
5295
5300
5305
5310
5315
5320
5325
5330
5335
5340
5345
5350
5355
5360
5365
5370
5375
5380
5385
5390
5395
5400
5405
5410
5415
5420
5425
5430
5435
5440
5445
5450
5455
5460
5465
5470
5475
5480
5485
5490
5495
5500
5505
5510
5515
5520
5525
5530
5535
5540
5545
5550
5555
5560
5565
5570
5575
5580
5585
5590
5595
5600
5605
5610
5615
5620
5625
5630
5635
5640
5645
5650
5655
5660
5665
5670
5675
5680
5685
5690
5695
5700
5705
5710
5715
5720
5725
5730
5735
5740
5745
5750
5755
5760
5765
5770
5775
5780
5785
5790
5795
5800
5805
5810
5815
5820
5825
5830
5835
5840
5845
5850
5855
5860
5865
5870
5875
5880
5885
5890
5895
5900
5905
5910
5915
5920
5925
5930
5935
5940
5945
5950
5955
5960
5965
5970
5975
5980
5985
5990
5995
6000
6005
6010
6015
6020
6025
6030
6035
6040
6045
6050
6055
6060
6065
6070
6075
6080
6085
6090
6095
6100
6105
6110
6115
6120
6125
6130
6135
6140
6145
6150
6155
6160
6165
6170
6175
6180
6185
6190
6195
6200
6205
6210
6215
6220
6225
6230
6235
6240
6245
6250
6255
6260
6265
6270
6275
6280
6285
6290
6295
6300
6305
6310
6315
6320
6325
6330
6335
6340
6345
6350
6355
6360
6365
6370
6375
6380
6385
6390
6395
6400
6405
6410
6415
6420
6425
6430
6435
6440
6445
6450
6455
6460
6465
6470
6475
6480
6485
6490
6495
6500
6505
6510
6515
6520
6525
6530
6535
6540
6545
6550
6555
6560
6565
6570
6575
6580
6585
6590
6595
6600
6605
6610
6615
6620
6625
6630
6635
6640
6645
6650
6655
6660
6665
6670
6675
6680
6685
6690
6695
6700
6705
6710
6715
6720
6725
6730
6735
6740
6745
6750
6755
6760
6765
6770
6775
6780
6785
6790
6795
6800
6805
6810
6815
6820
6825
6830
6835
6840
6845
6850
6855
6860
6865
6870
6875
6880
6885
6890
6895
6900
6905
6910
6915
6920
6925
6930
6935
6940
6945
6950
6955
6960
6965
6970
6975
6980
6985
6990
6995
7000
7005
7010
7015
7020
7025
7030
7035
7040
7045
7050
7055
7060
7065
7070
7075
7080
7085
7090
7095
7100
7105
7110
7115
7120
7125
7130
7135
7140
7145
7150
7155
7160
7165
7170
7175
7180
7185
7190
7195
7200
7205
7210
7215
7220
7225
7230
7235
7240
7245
7250
7255
7260
7265
7270
7275
7280
7285
7290
7295
7300
7305
7310
7315
7320
7325
7330
7335
7340
7345
7350
7355
7360
7365
7370
7375
7380
7385
7390
7395
7400
7405
7410
7415
7420
7425
7430
7435
7440
7445
7450
7455
7460
7465
7470
7475
7480
7485
7490
7495
7500
7505
7510
7515
7520
7525
7530
7535
7540
7545
7550
7555
7560
7565
7570
7575
7580
7585
7590
7595
7600
7605
7610
7615
7620
7625
7630
7635
7640
7645
7650
7655
7660
7665
7670
7675
7680
7685
7690
7695
7700
7705
7710
7715
7720
7725
7730
7735
7740
7745
7750
7755
7760
7765
7770
7775
7780
7785
7790
7795
7800
7805
7810
7815
7820
7825
7830
7835
7840
7845
7850
7855
7860
7865
7870
7875
7880
7885
7890
7895
7900
7905
7910
7915
7920
7925
7930
7935
7940
7945
7950
7955
7960
7965
7970
7975
7980
7985
7990
7995
8000
8005
8010
8015
8020
8025
8030
8035
8040
8045
8050
8055
8060
8065
8070
8075
8080
8085
8090
8095
8100
8105
8110
8115
8120
8125
8130
8135
8140
8145
8150
8155
8160
8165
8170
8175
8180
8185
8190
8195
8200
8205
8210
8215
8220
8225
8230
8235
8240
8245
8250
8255
8260
8265
8270
8275
8280
8285
8290
8295
8300
8305
8310
8315
8320
8325
8330
8335
8340
8345
8350
8355
8360
8365
8370
8375
8380
8385
8390
8395
8400
8405
8410
8415
8420
8425
8430
8435
8440
8445
8450
8455
8460
8465
8470
8475
8480
8485
8490
8495
8500
8505
8510
8515
8520
8525
8530
8535
8540
8545
8550
8555
8560
8565
8570
8575
8580
8585
8590
8595
8600
8605
8610
8615
8620
8625
8630
8635
8640
8645
8650
8655
8660
8665
8670
8675
8680
8685
8690
8695
8700
8705
8710
8715
8720
8725
8730
8735
8740
8745
8750
8755
8760
8765
8770
8775
8780
8785
8790
8795
8800
8805
8810
8815
8820
8825
8830
8835
8840
8845
8850
8855
8860
8865
8870
8875
8880
8885
8890
8895
8900
8905
8910
8915
8920
8925
8930
8935
8940
8945
8950
8955
8960
8965
8970
8975
8980
8985
8990
8995
9000
9005
9010
9015
9020
9025
9030
9035
9040
9045
9050
9055
9060
9065
9070
9075
9080
9085
9090
9095
9100
9105
9110
9115
9120
9125
9130
9135
9140
9145
9150
9155
9160
9165
9170
9175
9180
9185
9190
9195
9200
9205
9210
9215
9220
9225
9230
9235
9240
9245
9250
9255
9260
9265
9270
9275
9280
9285
9290
9295
9300
9305
9310
9315
9320
9325
9330
9335
9340
9345
9350
9355
9360
9365
9370
9375
9380
9385
9390
9395
9400
9405
9410
9415
9420
9425
9430
9435
9440
9445
9450
9455
9460
9465
9470
9475
9480
9485
9490
9495
9500
9505
9510
9515
9520
9525
9530
9535
9540
9545
9550
9555
9560
9565
9570
9575
9580
9585
9590
9595
9600
9605
9610
9615
9620
9625
9630
9635
9640
9645
9650
9655
9660
9665
9670
9675
9680
9685
9690
9695
9700
9705
9710
9715
9720
9725
9730
9735
9740
9745
9750
9755
9760
9765
9770
9775
9780
9785
9790
9795
9800
9805
9810
9815
9820
9825
9830
9835
9840
9845
9850
9855
9860
9865
9870
9875
9880
9885
9890
9895
9900
9905
9910
9915
9920
9925
9930
9935
9940
9945
9950
9955
9960
9965
9970
9975
9980
9985
9990
9995
10000
10005
10010
10015
10020
10025
10030
10035
10040
10045
10050
10055
10060
10065
10070
10075
10080
10085
10090
10095
10100
10105
10110
10115
10120
101

be accomplished in a variety of ways, e.g. using a phase locked loop, saw filter, etc. Also, the CDR circuit 18 could be omitted from an embodiment consistent with the invention if its output is not required by the error detection & correction circuit 20.

In the illustrated embodiment, the clock and data are provided on separate outputs 32,34 of the CDR 18 and are coupled to the error detection & correction circuit 20. The error detection & correction circuit 20 provides an error signal on line 36. The error signal is representative of the number of errors received in the input data stream, and may be provided in a variety of forms, e.g. total number of received errors, numbers of corrected bits, etc but should preferably convey the number of corrected "1"s and the number of corrected "0"s. The error detection circuit 20 provides a corrected data output on line 46.

A variety of configurations for the error detection & correction circuit 20 will be apparent to those skilled in the art. For example, the error signal can be provided by FEC-type circuitry, which, as discussed above, provides error correction based on predefined algorithms. In this case, a corrected data output would be provided on line 46. The corrected data output would be derived from the particular FEC algorithm used, and would include a number "1"s corrected from "0"s in the input, and a number of "0"s corrected from "1"s in the input.

In a configuration where an FEC circuit is not necessary or desired, those skilled in the art will recognize other configurations of error detection & correction circuits. For example, data stream errors can be obtained from other error detection or error correction codes. If a data header and payload format is used such as in SONET or SDH, it is preferable to use coding that is outside of the payload in order to obtain a sense of directionality for the decision threshold change over multiple frames.

The error signal from the error detection & correction circuit 20 is coupled to the control circuit 22, which provides a decision threshold to the comparator 16 on line 44 based on or in response to the error signal.

In the illustrated exemplary embodiment shown in Fig. 2, the error signal on line 36 is coupled to an input of a microprocessor circuit 38. The microprocessor 38 performs some calculations and provides a digital output on line 40 to a conventional digital to analog converter 42 (DAC) for modifying the analog output of the DAC, which is provided as the comparator decision threshold on line 44.

The microprocessor circuit 38 may be constructed from, for example, a general purpose microprocessor, an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a field programmable gate array (FPGA), etc.

The analog output of the DAC 42 is increased or decreased by a corresponding increase or decrease in the number of DAC points (counts) provided from the processor 38 to the DAC 42 on line 40. A DAC point is, therefore, the digital input to the DAC 42 required to make a corresponding known change in the DAC analog output on line 26. For example, a one DAC point increase or decrease of the microprocessor output to the DAC may result in a corresponding increase or decrease of the DAC analog output by one millivolt. By modifying the digital input to the DAC 42 based on the error signal, the microprocessor 38 adjusts the output of the DAC 42 in a direction (i.e. either increasing or decreasing) to reduce the total number of errors in the receiver output data stream 46.

Of course, if the comparator 16 were capable of receiving a digital threshold signal then the DAC 42 could be eliminated and the microprocessor circuit 38 could directly output a digital

threshold signal to the comparator 16. Moreover, the DAC may be included within the comparator 16 rather than within the control circuit 22.

Figure 3 illustrates another alternative construction of the invention. Generally speaking, the DAC 42 of Fig. 2 may be replaced with a potentiometer 43 as shown in Fig. 3. In other words, control circuit 23 may include a microprocessor circuit 38 and a potentiometer coupled to the microprocessor 38. The potentiometer 43 may receive a control signal from the microprocessor. This control signal would adjust the potentiometer 43 and, thereby, the threshold signal voltage supplied to the comparator 16.

Figure 4 illustrates yet another alternative construction. Generally speaking, a pulse-width modulated signal 40 may be used as the threshold signal in receiver circuit 3. More specifically, the microprocessor circuit 38 in control circuit 24 may output a pulse-width modulated signal 40 as the threshold signal and vary the width of the pulse to change the threshold. Instead of varying the pulse width of signal 40, the duty cycle and/or pulse height may be varied. An RC circuit including, for example, the resistor 52 and capacitor 54 shown in Figure 4 may be used to condition the signal 40 before it is supplied as a threshold signal to the comparator 16.

Operation

Many devices used to construct comparator 16 have a dynamic range that exceeds the “usable” range of voltages of the received signal. In other words, comparator 16 may receive signals over line 28 having a voltage range that represent only a small portion of the dynamic range of the comparator 16. If one were to simply adjust the threshold signal without regard to

this usable range then it may take an unacceptably long period of time to find an optimum or at least an acceptable threshold level due to this mismatch in ranges.

To reduce this time period and more rapidly find an acceptable threshold level for the comparator 16, it is preferable to first sweep the dynamic range of the comparator 16 to find the usable range. In other words, it is generally preferable to search for the usable range before adjusting the comparator 16 threshold to reduce BER. In this way, the threshold adjustments for receiver circuit tuning may be limited to this usable range or at least have a good starting point. In either case, an optimum or near-optimum threshold value may be found much more rapidly. This usable range search may be skipped or eliminated, if for example, the mismatch between dynamic and usable ranges is not so great. Furthermore, the search may be limited to range centered around a pre-configured, known or estimated decision threshold value to speed the searching process.

Fig. 5 illustrates one method 100 of searching the dynamic range for the usable range. This method 100 may be performed by any of the receiver circuits 22, 23, or 24 described above. Furthermore, this method 100 may be performed during a factory calibration set-up procedure, during a field calibration or at any time before live traffic will be carried. As will be recognized by those skilled in the art, the steps in the illustrated flow charts may be carried out by firmware embedded in the microprocessor 38, software stored in a memory device in or associated with the microprocessor 38 or a combination thereof.

As shown in Fig. 5, the searching method 100 may select a starting point and set the initial decision threshold (110). This may be accomplished by choosing a random starting point, a starting point at one end of the range, a known or configured value, or a point in the middle. In any event, the microprocessor circuit 38 generates a control signal that is used (e.g. via DAC 42)

to send an initial decision threshold signal to the comparator 16. A delay (115) may then be introduced to, for example, permit the system to stabilize.

The searching method 100 shown in Fig. 5 continues by determining if valid bits are being received (120). In other words, the method determines if the decision threshold is at a level sufficient that valid bits are being received. The determination of whether valid bits are being received generally varies with the type of error coding method utilized. In the case of FEC, the bits may be deemed valid when the FEC OOF (out of frame) is false and the bits may be deemed invalid when this condition is true. In other words, the signal may be deemed valid when the FEC decoder in the error correction and detection circuit 20 can synchronize and recognize framing of the incoming signal.

As an alternative to using FEC OOF to determine whether the bits are valid in step 120 it is also possible to use SONET or SDH valid frame detection. While this is a possibility it is not preferred because the FEC frame is more sensitive than the SONET or SDH frames. Of course, coding algorithms other than FEC can be used to not only determine bit errors but also to determine whether the bits are valid. In other words, "valid" could be determined differently depending upon which coding method is used.

If valid bits are being received, then the method stops searching (190) and the microprocessor 38 can utilize the threshold signal level(s) associated with the valid bit(s) as a starting point for tuning the threshold to a more optimum value. In other words, the searching 100 algorithm gets the receiver into the ballpark so that the decision threshold may be tuned more quickly by the tuning algorithm (e.g. 200, 201, 300, 301 discussed below).

If no valid bits are being received, then the microprocessor 38 adjusts the decision threshold (125). A decision (130) is then made as to whether the decision threshold is out of

range (130). For example, has the maximum or minimum DAC 42 count value been exceeded or does the potentiometer 43 have any adjustment left or has the search exceeded a pre-configured subset of the dynamic range centered. If the answer is yes, then the decision threshold must be adjusted to bring it back into range (e.g. changing the direction of adjustment or changing the starting point for adjustment). If not out of range, then another delay (135) may be introduced to permit the system to stabilize. The method then loops back to determine if valid bits are being received with this new threshold level (120).

The searching method 100 illustrated in Fig. 5 may also be modified to determine the range of decision thresholds in which valid bits are received instead of finding a single decision threshold value. In this way, the tuning of the decision threshold may be limited to this range and/or the system may be able to pick a better initial decision threshold (e.g. the middle of the range).

The range referred to in the decision (130) may be the entire dynamic range of the adjustment device (e.g. DAC 42) or it may comprise a subset of that range (e.g. +/- X counts centered about a configured value). It is generally preferred to use a subset of the entire dynamic range where the subset is centered about a configured or preset value so that the searching method takes a shorter amount of time.

FIG. 6 is a flow chart illustrating a decision threshold tuning operation 200 of a receiver consistent with the invention. As will be recognized by those skilled in the art, the steps in the illustrated flow chart may be carried out by firmware embedded in the microprocessor 38, software stored in a memory device in or associated with the control circuit 22, or a combination thereof.

As show in Fig. 6, decision threshold tuning 200 may be a single non-repetitive event. In other words, the invention contemplates a single decision threshold adjustment and does not necessarily perform dynamic tuning in which a series of decision threshold adjustments are made.

5 After starting (202) the tuning process, the method inputs (205) the number of corrected "1"s and "0"s. As described above, the error detection & correction circuit 20 outputs an error signal on line 36 that includes or otherwise represents the number of corrected "1"s and "0"s corrected in the data stream from comparator 16.

10 The tuning method may then perform a calculation (220) based on this error signal. The preferred method calculates a total percentage error indicator which is a measure of the total percentage success of the comparator 16 in accurately deciding between "1"s and "0"s. The percentage error indicator preferably includes a total number of corrected bits so as to normalize the value. In other words, the total percentage error indicator preferably measures the percentage success or failure of the comparator 16 to make binary decisions where the percentage is preferably in relation to the total number of errors (or corrected bits). The most preferred form of this calculation applies the following equation:

$$Indicator = \frac{|(Ones - Zeroes)|}{|(Ones + Zeroes)|},$$

where Indicator = total percentage error indicator,

Ones = the number of corrected "1"s in the output data signal, and

20 Zeroes = the number of corrected "0"s in the output data signal.

The total percentage error indicator may be used in a variety of fashions to arrive at an updated decision threshold signal. A direct calculation of the threshold signal value may be made based on the total percentage error indicator. The preferred embodiment, however, utilizes

the total percentage error indicator to determine the amount of change applied to the current decision threshold value.

In other words, the total percentage error indicator is preferably used to determine how much the decision threshold should be altered. A large total percentage error indicator means that the decision threshold is “lopsided” or skewed too far towards either the “1”s or “0”s and should be rapidly changed. A small total percentage error indicator means that the decision threshold is close to being ideal and that smaller changes should be made to the decision threshold. In this way, the invention may rapidly arrive at a decision threshold value without overshooting and reduce the BER.

One way of formalizing this concept is to mathematically state the relationship between the stepsize (the amount that the decision threshold should be changed), the Indicator, and the Range as follows:

$$\text{stepsize amount} = \text{Indicator} * \text{Range},$$

where

Indicator = total percentage error indicator, and

Range = the range of stepsizes (e.g. the difference between the maximum stepsize and the minimum stepsize).

While this equation form is best stated for receiver 1 shown in Fig. 2 where a DAC 42 is used (points or counts relate to the stepsize amount) the concepts apply equally to the potentiometer embodiment (Fig. 3), the pulse-width modulator embodiment (Fig. 4), or other constructions of a receiver capable of changing the decision threshold. In general, the term “stepsize amount” refers to any change or alteration (either directly or indirectly) of the decision threshold value. A large “stepsize amount” infers a large alteration in the decision threshold and

does not necessarily imply discrete values.

Fig. 10 is an example showing sample values for the number of corrected “1”s, “0”s, total errors and the total preferred percentage error indicator (Diff/Total). At the top of the chart one can see that the receiver is quite out-of-tune: a large total number of errors are being corrected indicating a very out-of-tune receiver. Significantly, there is a large difference between the number of corrected “1”s and the number of corrected “0”s with the number of corrected “1”s far exceeding the number of corrected “0”s. The invention recognizes this difference by calculating a total percentage error indicator (Diff/Total) that is close to unity thereby indicating a large change (large stepsize amount) in the decision threshold is needed to reduce the BER.

In contrast, the bottom of the Fig. 10 table shows a receiver having an optimal or nearly-optimal decision threshold tuning: the number of corrected “1”s nearly equals the number of corrected “0”s and the total number of errors is substantially reduced compared with the top of the chart.

Fig. 9 is a graph of the preferred total percentage error indicator (Diff/Total) as a function of DAC counts (related to the decision threshold value). Fig. 9 plots the values shown in the table of Fig. 10. Both Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 are proof of concept illustrations showing that the total percentage error indicator serves as an excellent basis for changing the decision threshold. As shown therein, a large indicator value (near unity) corresponds quite nicely with a highly out-of-tune decision threshold. Likewise, a small indicator value (near zero) corresponds quite nicely with a relatively in-tune receiver where the number of corrected “1”s is nearly equal to the number of corrected “0”s meaning that the decision threshold is close to optimum. While errors are still observed the receiver is not to blame and is making accurate decisions between “1”s and “0”s.

Figs. 9 and 10 do not show the preferred receiver operation in which the stepsize of decision threshold adjustment is changed according to the size of the total percentage error indicator. Instead, the DAC count (related to the decision threshold value) is changed by increments of 2 in order to show that the total percentage error indicator is an excellent basis for changing the decision threshold.

Fig. 7 illustrates the preferred method of dynamically tuning the decision threshold. The dynamic tuning of Fig. 7 shares many steps with the one-shot tuning of Fig. 6.

In general, the dynamic tuning method (preferred over the one-shot tuning) iteratively adjusts the decision threshold. Certain limits are preferably placed on the adjustment such as setting the stepsize to a minimum amount when the number of corrected errors or the indicator are less than certain values. The preferred method also sets the stepsize to zero when the number of corrected ones equal the number of corrected zeros.

These preferred additions to the dynamic threshold tuning may be performed using the flowchart of Fig. 7. As shown therein, after starting (202) the dynamic tuning method 201 the method inputs (205) the number of corrected "1"s and "0"s. As described above, the error detection & correction circuit 20 outputs an error signal on line 36 that includes or otherwise represents the number of corrected "1"s and "0"s corrected in the data stream from comparator 16. The input (205) process may read the number of corrected "1"s and "0"s for a certain time period such a few seconds to gather a sufficient data set to make informed threshold tuning decisions.

The dynamic tuning method 201 then decides (210) whether an acceptable decision threshold value has been reached. This may be done by determining if the number of corrected

“1”s equals the number of corrected “0”s (Ones = Zeros). If so, then the stepsize is set (260) to zero meaning that no change will be made to the decision threshold value in this iteration.

If an acceptable decision threshold value has not been reached, then the method determines (215) if the decision threshold value is close to being acceptable. This may be done by comparing the total number of errors (e.g. Ones + Zeros) with a threshold value. If the total number of errors is below this threshold then the stepsize is set (250) to a minimum value. In other words, only a minimal adjustment should be made to the decision threshold value to prevent overshoot of the acceptable value.

If the total number of errors exceeds the threshold value then the method proceeds by determining an appropriate stepsize amount. First, the total percentage error indicator is calculated (220) to measure the percentage success or failure of the comparator 16 to make binary decisions where the percentage is preferably in relation to the total number of errors (or corrected bits). The most preferred form of this calculation applies the following equation:

$$Indicator = \left| \frac{(Ones - Zeroes)}{(Ones + Zeroes)} \right|,$$

where Indicator = total percentage error indicator,

Ones = the number of corrected “1”s in the output data signal, and

Zeroes = the number of corrected “0”s in the output data signal.

The invention may then decide whether to make a minimum adjustment to the decision threshold value or whether a larger adjustment is appropriate. This may be done by deciding (225) whether the indicator exceeds a threshold value. If not, then the method sets (250) the stepsize to a minimum value. If yes, then a larger adjustment is appropriate.

The threshold values utilized by the invention vary depending upon a variety of factors such as the model and type of hardware utilized to construct the receiver, the optical network,

and the received signal strength and quality.

As with the method of Fig. 6, the dynamic tuning method of Fig. 7 utilizes the total percentage error indicator to determine (230) the amount of change applied to the current decision threshold value. Mathematically this determination (230) may be expressed as follows:

$$\text{stepsize amount} = \text{Indicator} * \text{Range},$$

where

Indicator = total percentage error indicator, and

Range = the range of stepsizes (e.g. the difference between the maximum stepsize and the minimum stepsize).

Again, while this equation form is best stated for receiver 1 shown in Fig. 2 where a DAC 42 is used (points or counts relate to the stepsize amount) the concepts apply equally to the potentiometer embodiment (Fig. 3), the pulse-width modulator embodiment (Fig. 4), or other constructions of a receiver capable of changing the decision threshold. In general, the term “stepsize amount” refers to any change or alteration (either directly or indirectly) of the decision threshold value. A large stepsize amount infers a large alteration in the decision threshold.

After determining (230) the stepsize amount, the invention may then proceed to actually change (295) or otherwise adjust the decision threshold by the stepsize amount. The microprocessor 38 may perform this adjustment by adjusting the DAC count (Fig. 1), the potentiometer (Fig. 2), or the pulse-width modulated signal (Fig. 3) or by effecting any other change of the decision threshold value of another receiver design consistent with the concepts of the invention.

The direction of change (+/-) may be inferred by the relative number of corrected “1”s and “0”s. For example, given that there is a direct relationship with decision threshold, and

number of corrected "1"s , if the number of corrected "1"s exceeds the number of "0"s then the decision threshold is too high and must be reduced . Likewise, if the number of corrected "0"s exceeds the number of "1"s then the decision threshold is too low and must be increased. Of course, other ways of inferring the stepsize sign may be used and still be consistent with the concepts of the invention.

After adjusting (295) the decision threshold, the method 201 then loops back to the input (205) step. Iterations of the method 201 may continue in the fashion described above to continuously and dynamically modify the comparator decision threshold to reduce the BER for the received data.

Fig. 8a illustrates another method of dynamically tuning the decision threshold based on a different indicator (a relative percentage error indicator as opposed to the total percentage error indicator described above). The dynamic tuning of Fig. 8a shares many steps with the one-shot tuning of Fig. 6 and the dynamic tuning of Fig. 7. Therefore, detailed explanations of shared steps will not be repeated here.

As shown in Fig. 8a, the alternative decision threshold tuning method 300 inputs (205) Ones and Zeros after starting (202) the tuning process. Then, a relative percentage error indicator is calculated (305). In this alternative, the relative percentage error indicator is calculated as follows:

Indicator = Ones/Zeros or Zeros/Ones depending upon which is greater than 1.

In other words:

Indicator = Ones/Zeros when $\text{Ones/Zeros} \geq 1$ and

Indicator = Zeros/Ones when $\text{Zeros/Ones} \geq 1$

The tuning method 300 then decides (310) whether the Indicator exceeds a Ratio Threshold. If yes, then another decision (320) is made in which the absolute value of Ones – Zeros is tested against another Threshold (e.g. if $|Ones - Zeros| > SecondThreshold$, then the method sets (330) the stepsize to a maximum value. In other words, there is large difference between the number of corrected Ones and Zeros which indicates that the decision threshold is not close to an optimal value and should be rapidly changed (e.g. by setting the stepsize to a maximum value). Otherwise ($|Ones - Zeros| \leq SecondThreshold$), the stepsize is set to a minimum value (the decision threshold is close to optimum and should be changed by small increments to prevent overshoot).

After determining the stepsize (330 or 350), the method may then adjust (295) the decision threshold by the stepsize in the appropriate direction as described in more detail above.

Fig. 8b illustrates another method (301) of dynamically tuning the decision threshold based on a relative percentage error indicator. The dynamic tuning of Fig. 8b shares many steps with tuning illustrated in Fig. 8a, 7 and 6. Therefore, detailed explanations of shared steps will not be repeated here.

An additional feature of the tuning method 301 illustrated in Fig. 8b is the no decision threshold adjustment path. Specifically, a decision (345) may be made as to whether the number of corrected Ones equals the number of corrected Zeros. If so, then it may be presumed that an acceptable decision threshold has been reached since there is an even split between corrected Ones and Zeros. Fig. 8b illustrates this no adjustment path by looping back to the input (205) step after determining that the number of corrected Ones equals the number of corrected Zeros. A similar no adjustment path may be added to the tuning method 300 illustrated in Fig. 8a.

The Fig. 8b tuning method 301 also uses a Total Count value (as opposed to the absolute value of Ones – Zeros) to further determine the stepsize value. Specifically, a decision (322) is made in which the Total Count (total number of corrected Ones and corrected Zeros) is tested against another Threshold (e.g. if *TotalCount* > *ThirdThreshold* , then the method sets (330) the stepsize to a maximum value. In other words, there a large number of errors need to corrected then this indicates that the decision threshold is not close to an optimal value and should be rapidly changed (e.g. by setting the stepsize to a maximum value). Otherwise (*TotalCount* ≤ *ThirdThreshold*), the stepsize is set to a minimum value (the decision threshold is close to optimum and should be changed by small increments to prevent overshoot).

It is to be understood that the illustrated flow charts are provided by way of explanation, not of limitation. Alternative methods adjusting the comparator decision threshold in manner consistent with the invention will be apparent to those skilled in the art.

There is thus provided an optical receiver configuration that dynamically adjusts the receiver decision threshold to achieve and maintain an optimized system BER. Consistent with the invention, the receiver includes a control circuit (e.g. 22, 23 or 24) in a feedback loop. The control circuit adjusts a decision threshold to a comparator based on error rate information derived from the data stream and, preferably, the comparator decision threshold is adjusted at a rate that varies according to the indicator.

Generally speaking the inventive systems and methods disclosed herein are independent of the modulation format. In other words, the invention is not restricted to any specific modulation format (e.g. OOK, RZ, NRZ, soliton, QAM and QPSK) and may be applied to any known or future-developed modulation format.

The inventive concepts are quite useful for rapidly and accurately adapting the decision

threshold to reduce the number of errors. A variety of factors in the optical network may influence what decision threshold value reduces errors including factors such as cross phase modulation, four wave mixing, self phase modulation, OSNR changes, Raman crosstalk, stimulated Brillion scattering, and jitter. Moreover, these factors are influenced by fiber type, weather the fiber is in, number of channels, length of spans, types of amplifiers, launch power into fiber from transmitter and a variety of other effects in the fiber itself. The invention compensates for all of these factors and influences by intelligently adjusting the decision threshold as described above in detail.

The embodiments that have been described herein, however, are but some of the several which utilize this invention and are set forth here by way of illustration but not of limitation. It is obvious that many other embodiments, which will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, may be made without departing materially from the spirit and scope of the invention as defined in the appended claims.